

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/862,427	<b>Applicant(s)</b> COHN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Brandon W Bowers	<b>Art Unit</b> 2825	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Brandon W Bowers.

 (2) Phillip Miller.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

1-40

Claims discussed:

1-40

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

 See Continuation Sheet
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: We discussed changes to the claims that would put them in order for allowance. Attorney Miller discussed this with the applicant and faxed Examiner Bowers a set of proposed changes. Examiner Bowers agreed that the changes put the case in order for allowance and to enter the changes as an Examiners Amendment. Examiner Bowers discussed the proposed changes with Examiner Vuthe Seik and it was determined that several further changes were necessary. Examiner Bowers called Attorney Miller back to discuss these additional changes, however Attorney Miller was unable to contact the applicant to determine if the additional changes were agreeable. Attorney Miller and Examiner Bowers agreed to Quayle the case to give the applicant additional time to think about the changes..